

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | | \sim |
|---------------------------------------|-----|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/829,643 | 0 | 4/22/2004 | Robert Andrew Gall | 6963 | |
| 7: | 590 | 02/17/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| ROBERT A. | | | HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN | | |
| 5429 SONGBIRD WAY PARKER, CO 80134 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | | TATER NOMBER |
| | | | | 3611 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| $\perp 1$ | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | Office Action Summany | 10/829,643 | GALL, ROBERT ANDREW | | | | |
| 7 | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | The MAIL INC DATE of this communication | Gary C Hoge | 3611 | | | | |
| Peri | The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Stat | us | | | | | | |
| | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>_</u> . | | | | | |
| 2 | a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ; | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disp | position of Claims | | | | | | |
| | 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | | |
| Арр | lication Papers | | | | | | |
| , | 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | |
| 1 | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | • • • | | | | | |
| 1 | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex- | * | | | | | |
| Prio | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 1: | 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical copies. | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attac | chment(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) 🗀 | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/6/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/829,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed.

Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for "said dollar mark," "said cents mark," "said exclamation point," "said question mark," and "said dual lightning bolt symbol(s)."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 10/829,643 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meehan.

Meehan discloses a license plate and indicia. Although Meehan does not disclose the specific indicia recited by Applicant, the recitation of different indicia does not patentably define over Meehan because it has been held that patentable novelty cannot be principally predicated on mere printed matter and arrangements thereof, but must reside basically in physical structure. *In re Montgomery*, 102 USPQ 248. Also, once the concept of indicia has been disclosed, the selection of specific indicia is not separately patentable because the selection of specific indicia is an obvious matter of choice in design.

6. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shomron.

Shomron discloses a credit card and indicia. Although Shomron does not disclose the specific indicia recited by Applicant, the recitation of different indicia does not patentably define over Shomron because it has been held that patentable novelty cannot be principally predicated on mere printed matter and arrangements thereof, but must reside basically in physical structure. *In re Montgomery*, 102 USPQ 248. Also, once the concept of indicia has been disclosed, the selection of specific indicia is not separately patentable because the selection of specific indicia is an obvious matter of choice in design.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C Hoge whose telephone number is (703) 308-3422. After

Art Unit: 3611

April 5, 2005, the examiner's telephone number will be (571) 272-6645. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

gch